

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/543,908 04/06/2000 Robert W. Scheifler 06502.0254 9359 EXAMINER 7590 22852 06/29/2004 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2131

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2004. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1.2 and 7.14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)☑ Claim(s) 3.4 and 14 is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1.2 and 7.13 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * ○□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4ttachment(s) Attachment(s)			/ 1/	
Examiner Christopher A. Reveak 2131 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION Sentations of time may be available under the positions of 3° CFR 1.136(b). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) days will be considered timely If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) (any will be considered timely If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) (any will be considered timely If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) (any will be considered timely If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) (any will be considered timely If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) (AND NTHS from the maining date of this communication If No period for reply is specified above, the readmun stabulory period will apply and will expire (30) (AND NTHS from the maining date of this communication If No period for reply is specified to the file of the communication If No period for reply is specified to the file of the communication If No period for reply is specified to the file of the communication If No period for reply is specified to a communication. If No period for reply is specified to the file of the communication If No period for reply is specified to the maining date of the communication If No period for reply is specified to period for the communication If No period for reply is specified to the communication If No period for reply is specified to the communication	3	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Christopher A. Revak 2131	Office Action Summary	09/543,908	SCHEIFLER ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherison for the map be evaluated under the provided under the p		Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Electricates of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Electricates of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Electricates of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Electricates of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In order, however, may a reply be timely filed on the provisions of the provisions of the substruct maintain a statutory produced under the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered kinely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the materian statutory benefit will apply early will be considered kinely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the materian statutory benefit will be communication. - Any reply received by the Criticate than these mornian after the mailing date of this communication, even if kinely filed, may reduce any search advantage and provided the provision of the provision				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Principles of the communication of the communicatio	• •			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 3.4 and 14 is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-952) 2) Notice of Triatsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00) 5 Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 7-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 3.4 and 14 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1)☐ Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-882) 1)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 7-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 3.4 and 14 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1)☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M.	ay 2004.		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)				
All bluscent is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All bluscent of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) All blusce of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) All claim(s) Application Stage statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) All claim(s) 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 12 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 13 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 14 Claim(s) 15 And 7-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 All claim(s) 16 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 17 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<u>'</u>			
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 3.4 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Patiences Cited (PTO-892) 1 □ Notice of Patiences Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims			
5) Claim(s) 3.4 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-14</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Professors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 14</u> is/are allowed.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-13</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonical None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form P1O-152.			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P		

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Touboul fails to disclose of "determining constraints to implement secure communication with the server from which the code was downloaded." The examiner respectfully disagrees. Touboul discloses of "policies for determining whether to allow or block incoming downloadables as the applicant has indicates, however Touboul '194 discloses of a signed certificate appended to the downloadable security profile that indicates if it is to be trusted (see col. 2, lines 10-20 and col. 6, lines 26-35), or rather determining constraints to implement secure communication with the server from which the code was downloaded. Touboul '844 similarly discloses of a signed certificate appended to the downloadable security profile that indicates if it is to be trusted (see col. 4, line 65 through col. 5, line 6 and col. 7, lines 49-67), or rather determining constraints to implement secure communication with the server from which the code was downloaded.

- The examiner acknowledges the applicant's election without traverse of claims 1 and 7-14, whereby claims 5 and 6 have been withdrawn from consideration.
- 3. The examiner has found the applicant's arguments to be persuasive in regards to claims 3,4, and 14 and the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is withdrawn.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

4. The examiner has located the missing IDS references that were not available to the examiner in the previous action and has considered those references, copies of the signed PTO-1449 are included along with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1,2,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Touboul, U.S. Patent 6,092,194.

As per claims 1 and 12, it is disclosed by Touboul of a computer based method and of a computer readable medium containing instructions (col. 10, line 8 and col. 13, lines 13-15) for a downloadable (downloaded code) being received (communicated) by a server and is intended for a client computer (col. 10, lines 9-10) in a distributed system as is shown in Figure 1. A downloadable security profile (secure code) is compared (determined) by the server to determine if it violates (verifies if it will enforce) the security policy (set of constraints)(col. 10, lines 11-15). It is noted by the examiner that if it determined that the downloadable (downloaded code) does not contain suspicious operations, it is treated as trustworthy (as is recited in the abstract) and is

Art Unit: 2131

securely communicated to the client computer based on being trusted. A certificate further validates the downloadable security profile (secure code) as being verified from a trusted source (col. 6, lines 26-37).

As per claims 2 and 13, the teachings of Touboul disclose of determining if a downloadable (downloaded code) will enforce a security policy (set of constraints). The teachings of Touboul disclose of the use of Java object oriented programming (col. 1, lines 65-67). It is inherent that the downloadable (downloaded code) invokes a method on the server for it is well known that invocation of methods is notoriously well known in the field of object oriented programming whereby it is known to consist of routines and data being treated as a discrete entity whereby calling or activating (invoking) other routines in response to a received message.

7. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Touboul et al, U.S. Patent 6,154,844.

As per claim 7, Touboul et al discloses of a system for a downloadable being received (communicated) by a server, that comprises memory with web page data (service) that is to be executed (runs) by a processor (col. 10, lines 35-45), and is intended for a client computer (col. 3, lines 32-52) in a distributed system as is shown in Figure 1. Figure 3 shows processor for executing the program and memory containing the downloadable (proxy) that comprises the downloadable security profile (secure verifier) retrieved and facilitates use from the web page (service) whereby the downloadable security profile (secure verifier) is compared (determined) by the server to determine if it violates (verifies if it will enforce) the security policy (security

Application/Control Number: 09/543,908 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

constraints)(col. 12, lines 30-38). It is noted by the examiner that if it determined that the downloadable does not contain suspicious operations, it is treated as trustworthy (as is recited in the abstract) and is securely communicated to the client computer based on being trusted. A certificate further validates the downloadable security profile as being verified from a trusted source (col. 5, line 59 through col. 6, line 12). It is inherent that the downloadable (proxy) comprising the downloadable security profile invokes a method on the server for it is well known that invocation of methods is notoriously well known in the field of object oriented programming whereby it is known to consist of routines and data being treated as a discrete entity whereby calling or activating (invoking) other routines in response to a received message.

As per claim 8, Touboul et al teaches that the server and client computer communicate via the Internet (col. 3, lines 34-44).

As per claim 9, Touboul et al discloses that the server and client computer communicate via a local area network (col. 3, lines 34-44).

As per claim 10, it is taught by Touboul et al that the security profile (security constraints) are set by an inspector (client program)(col. 2, lines 3-8).

As per claim 11, Touboul et al discloses that the security profile (security constraints) are set by an inspector (service)(col. 2, lines 3-8).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3,4 and 14 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2131

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

It was not found to be taught in the prior art of using a first proxy code to obtain a second proxy code containing code for communication purposes, determining whether the second proxy code is trustworthy by using a trustworthiness routine, determining whether the server is trustworthy by using the second proxy code when it has been determined that the second proxy is trusted, requesting the server to determine whether the first proxy is trustworthy by using the second proxy when it has been determined that the server is trusted, and using the first proxy to invoke a method on the server when the first proxy has been determined to be trusted.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 703-305-1843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

√ / / June 27, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7